

REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, BIHAR,

Before the Bench of Mr. Ved Prakash,

Special Presiding Officer

Exe. Case no.325/2023

RERA/CC/1002/2020

Mr. Raj Kumar SinghExecutant/Complainant

Vs.

M/s Nesh India Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.Respondent

PROJECT: TIRUANANTPURAM CITY

For the Executant: Mr. Rahul Srivastava, Advocate,

For the Respondent: Mr. Sahil Kumar, Advocate

24.02.2025

ORDER

Learned counsel Mr. Rahul Srivastava on behalf of the executant and learned counsel Mr. Sahil Kumar on behalf of the respondent are present.

Learned counsel for the executant files a petition under Order 23 Rule 1 CPC on behalf of the executant and he submits that the executant is willing to withdraw the present case as the purpose of the executant will not be served from the present case. He further submits that that the executant/deed holder wants to withdraw this case as he is willing to pursue his grievances in other forums. As such the application for withdrawal of the present case may be permitted and allowed and the case may be disposed of.

Learned counsel for the respondent does not oppose the withdrawal of the present case by the executant.

Considering the withdrawal petition as well as submissions of the parties, particularly, learned lawyer of the executant, it appears that the grievances of the executant are not possible to be redressed. So, he is willing to withdraw the present execution case. Further, the withdrawal petition appears to have been filed without any undue pressure, coercion or misrepresentation and it is also filed with the consent of the

executant. So, there is no need to continue the proceeding of the present case further.

Accordingly, the present withdrawal petition of the executant is allowed and the execution case is dismissed as withdrawn.

Sd/-

(Ved Prakash)

Special Presiding Officer