## REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, BIHAR,

Before the Bench of Mr. Ved Prakash, Special Presiding Officer

## RERA/CC/263/2024

Dilip Kumar .... Complainant

Vs.

M/s Ghar Laxmi Buildcon Pvt. Ltd. ....Respondent

**PROJECT:** INCOME TAX RESIDENCY

For the Complainant: Mr. Punit Kumar, Advocate

For the Respondent: None

## 20.06.2025 ORDER

Learned counsel Mr. Punit Kumar on behalf of the complainant is present but the respondent neither appeared before the Conciliation Forum nor appeared before this Bench in spite of notice sent by the office on 20.04.2025.

- 2. Learned counsel for the complainant submits that a Memorandum Of Understanding dated 07.09.2015 was executed between the complainant and the respondent to purchase a 3 BHK flat on 3<sup>rd</sup> floor along with car parking in the having area of 1215 sq. ft. project Income Tax Residency situated at Mauja - Lakhni Bigha near Danapur Station, Patna on consideration amount of Rs.18,00,000/-, out of which he paid Rs.3,60,000/-, which finds mention in the Allotment Letter dated 02.03.2020 and the same is on record. He also submits that the respondent – promoter had assured the complainant that delivery of possession of flat would be made within the specified period of time, but till date the respondent neither delivered possession of the plot nor developed the project nor refunded the principal amount and there is no any hope in future of handing over flat to the complainant. Hence, this complaint has been filed by the complainant for refund of principal amount along with interest and compensation.
- 3. It is evident from the record that the respondent neither appeared before the Conciliation Forum nor before this Bench in spite of notice sent by the office on 20.04.2025.
- 4. Perused the record. The Bench observes that the respondent promoter neither honoured the commitment made to the

complainant of completing the project and handing over possession of flat to the complainant within the specified period of time nor refunded the principal amount of the complainant when he failed in delivering possession of flat to the complainant. The respondent is also not showing interest in getting this case disposed of by appearing in the case in spite of service of notice upon him. The Bench presumes that the respondent – promoter has nothing to say in this matter as on every date either before the Conciliation Forum or this Bench he chose not to appear in the case so as to linger the matter for indefinite period. In such a situation, the Bench is left with no option but to pass the order exparte on merit on the basis of material available on the record as the case cannot be allowed to remain pending for an indefinite period.

- 5. In the backdrop of the submissions made by learned counsel for the complainant and on going through the material available on record, the Bench directs the respondent - company and its Mr. Rahul Kumar to refund the Director principal amount of to the complainant along with interest at 2% above Rs.3,60,000/marginal cost of the lending rate (MCLR) of the State Bank of India on the total principal amount which becomes due till the date of payment within sixty days of this order.
- 6. The complainant is at liberty to press other claims, if any, which are in the nature of compensation, before the Adjudicating Officer, RERA.

With the aforesaid observations and directions, this case is disposed of.

Sd/-

(Ved Prakash)
Special Presiding Officer,
RERA, Bihar.