

**REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, BIHAR**  
**Before the Bench of Mr. Naveen Verma, Chairman**

**RERA/CC/1022/2021**

**Rajiv Kumar & Oths.**

**.....Complainant**

**Vs**

**M/s Grih Vatika Homes Pvt. Ltd.**

**.....Respondent**

**Project: VIP RESIDENCY**

**26.09.2022**

-----

**27.09.2022**

**ORDER**

A detailed interim order was passed on 04.07.2022. The Bench had observed that the since the complainants had submitted that they had booked one Flat bearing No. 306, but the respondent had submitted that they had booked two Flats bearing no. 506 and 306, both parties were directed to file specific affidavits along with supporting documents to substantiate their respective claim.

The respondent filed an affidavit on 29.08.2022 stating therein that they had booked two Flats. This matter was heard on 29.08.2022. The complainant also filed an affidavit dated 15.09.2022 refuting the claim of the respondent that they had booked two Flats. It has also been submitted in the affidavit that the respondent had not filed any supporting document; the KYC filed by them was cloned and the signature of the complainant was forged.

The Authority notes that the claims and counter claims in the affidavits of the parties cannot be adjudicated by this Authority. The issue of contradictory affidavits and forged documents are

matters to be resolved by the court of competent civil jurisdiction.

The complainant and the respondent may approach the appropriate forum for redressal of their grievance. After the genuineness and authenticity of the documents is established by the civil court, they may approach the Authority under the relevant sections of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016/

With these observations, this matter is disposed of.

Sd/-  
**(Naveen Verma)**  
**Chairman**