

REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, BIHAR  
Before the Bench of Mr. Ved Prakash,  
Special Presiding Officer

**RERA/CC/57/2022**

Deepak Kumar

....Complainant(s)

Vs

M/s DDL Infratech Pvt. Ltd.

....Respondent

PROJECT- Agrani Woods

For the complainant:

In Person

For the respondent :

Mr. Rabindra Kumar (Adv.)

**10.06.2025**

**ORDER**

Mrs. Nutan Kumari, wife of the complainant, Shri Deepak Kumar and Shri Rabindra Kumar, learned counsel on behalf of respondent are present.

2. The case of the complainant, in short, is that previously the respondent has agreed to deliver company' plot no. D-12 to the complainant on consideration of Rs. 7,85,000/- and registered sale deed dated 21.06.2012 was executed by the respondent in favour of the complainant with specific boundary, but later on, the respondent could not deliver the possession of plot of that land to the complainant. Hence, the complaint case.

3. Learned counsel for respondent submits that the respondent has delivered 4.15 katha of land to the complainant, Shri Deepak Kumar along with other complainants belonging to his family and as such, 12.5 katha of land has been allotted along with separate 5 katha of land to Shri Vinay Kumar. He further submits that on account of shortage of land which was to be delivered to the complainant, the respondent has agreed to refund the consideration amount to the complainant and valuation of short area of land was calculated as Rs. 1,10,000/-, which has been paid to the complainant on 31.01.2025 and in support of his submission, he files photo copy of statement of A/c of Kanara bank existing in the name of Shri Shiv Kumar, Director of the respondent company.

4. The wife of the complainant has agreed with the submission of learned counsel for respondent. She further submits that the respondent has not only delivered possession of land but also paid the consideration amount of Rs. 1,10,000/- on account of short area of land which could not be delivered to her husband. She further submits that now the complainant is in possession of land and rectification deed has also been executed in favour of the complainant, Shri Deepak Kumar by the respondent with respect to the land allotted to him.

5. Hence, it appears that there is nothing due to complainant against respondent and nothing remains to be decided in the matter. Since the grievances of the complainant have been fully addressed to the satisfaction of the complainant, the present complaint case stands disposed of accordingly.

**Sd/-**  
**( Ved Prakash )**  
Special Presiding Officer