

REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, BIHAR
Before the Bench of Mr. Ved Prakash,
Special Presiding Officer

Exe. Case No – 216/2024
RERA/CC/436/2023

Neha SinhaComplainant/Executant(s)

Vs.

M/s Palvi Raj Construction Pvt. Ltd.Respondent

PROJECT : Mumbai Residency

For the complainant : Mr. Manish Kumar Verma (Husband)

For the respondent : Ms. Prerna Pandey (Adv.)

01.07.2025

PROCEEDINGS

Learned counsels on behalf of both the parties are present.

Learned counsel for respondent submits that the respondent has refunded Rs. 20,000/- to the executant, whereon the husband of the executant submits under protests that the respondent is taking advantage of the leniency in making refund of the principal amount to the executant.

Considering submissions, it appears that the respondent for one or the other reasons has failed to refund the principal amount and to pay the interest accrued on the said amount.

Hence, issue show cause notice to the respondent to explain as to why warrant of arrest should not be issued against him for his failure to refund the principal amount and to pay the interest accrued thereon. The show cause reply must be filed till the next date.

Put up on 11.08.2025 for further hearing.

Sd/-

(Ved Prakash)

Special Presiding Officer