

REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, BIHAR

**Before the Bench of Hon'ble Inquiry Commissioner, Mr. Sanjaya Kumar Singh, RERA,
Bihar**

RERA/CC/59/2024

Nidhi

.....Complainant

Vs

M/s Iyom India Construction Pvt. Ltd.

.....Respondent

Project: Uma Residency

Present: For Complainant: Mr. Kishore Kunal, Advocate

For Respondent: Mr. Hemant Kumar, Advocate

01/09/2025

PROCEEDING

Hearing taken up. Mr. Kishore Kunal, learned counsel for the complainant is present. Mr. Hemant Kumar, learned counsel for the respondent is also present.

Learned counsel for the complainant submits that the complainant has paid a total amount of Rs.26.36 lakh which is the total consideration amount for the flat in question.

The said submission was objected by learned counsel for the respondent, who says that an amount of Rs.22.00 lakh has been paid because actually the cost of the flat was Rs.22.00 lakh.

Learned counsel for the complainant disagrees with the statement made by the respondent saying that actually a car whose sale price was Rs.10.51 lakh was given to the respondent and which was acknowledged by the respondent as well and that is why the discrepancy in the total amount has arisen.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that he has paid Rs.6,92,744/- which is again objected by the complainant.

It seems that there is great difference between the two as far payment of amount and the refund of the amount is concerned. Hence, both the complainant as well as the respondent are directed to file a separate details as regards payment and refund is concerned along with the relevant documents as evidence to prove the veracity of the statement made. Apart from this, they are also directed to submit a written notes of argument within ten days from today after which final decision will be taken in this regard.

Order is reserved.

Sd/-
(Sanjaya Kumar Singh)
Inquiry Commissioner,
RERA, Bihar