REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, BIHAR

IN THE COURT OF SRI ANIMESH PANDEY,
SECRETARY, RERA, BIHAR

BRERAP00126-4/42/R-1336/2021

Authorised Representative of RERA … Complainant
Vs.
Neelgeet Construction Pvt. Ltd. … Respondent

PROJECT: BMG MALL FORMERLY KNOWN AS BIMAL BUSINESS PARK

PROCEEDING

28.09.2026

This case is taken up for hearing. The learned authorized representative of RERA, Mr. Rishikesh Rajan is present. The learned counsel on behalf of the respondent, Mr. Bhola Shankar is also present and files a Vakalatnama.

The learned counsel for the respondent submits that since a writ petition bearing CWJC.NO.12899/2023 against the project is pending before Hon'ble High Court, the respondent could not publish the QPR on the website of the Authority. However, he requests for some time to file a reply in the case. The request is allowed. He is directed to file the reply on or before the next date.

The learned authorized representative submits that the Monitoring Wing of RERA may be directed to calculate the total amount of penalty to be paid by the respondent for non-publication of the QPR on the website of the Authority within the prescribed period and submit the report before the next date.

The office is directed to append the evidence of the e-mail vide which the notice has been communicated to the promoter under reference. Apart from that the monitoring wing is directed to submit a report indicating the referred quarter of the financial year, the time-period (eg. January - March) therein, the time provided for

uploading the QPR (1.04.2026 to 30.04.2026) on RERA website and the delay (number of days in case of delayed uploading/QPR yet to be uploaded, the delay in this case for example will be calculated from 01.05.2026) besides the total penalty amount liable to be paid by the erring promoter.

Put up the matter on 12.10.2026.

Sd/-
(Animesh Pandey)
Secretary,
RERA, Bihar.