

**REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, BIHAR**  
**Before the Bench of Special Presiding Officer,**  
**Mr. Ved Prakash, RERA, Bihar**

-----  
**Case No. RERA/SM/453/2020**

Authorised Representative of RERA .... .. Complainant

Vs.

M/s Nexgen Dream Homes Pvt. Ltd. .... .. Respondent

**PROJECT: BHAWANI PALACE, ARRAH**

For the Complainant: Shri Ankit Kumar, LR

For the Respondent : None.

**PROCEEDING**

**03.04.2025**

Shri Ankit Kumar, learned Legal Representative on behalf of the complainant/Authority is present but the respondent is absent.

The learned Legal Representative of the Authority submits that though previously learned counsel on behalf of the respondent was attending the proceeding in the present case but he has left to attend the case. He further submits that the respondent/promotor has also not taken pains to appear during the proceeding. Hence, he is taking valuable time of the Authority so the case may be heard ex-parte against the respondent/promotor.

Considering the submission and going through the record it appears that previously concerned learned counsel on behalf of the respondent, Mr. Binod Kumar Sinha, was appearing before the Bench/Authority but for the last two dates he failed to represent the respondent. Now the respondent has also not taken pains to appear before the Bench to defend his case. Hence, the Bench has no option but to hear the case ex- parte.

Accordingly, heard learned legal representative of the complainant/Authority in detail on the merit of the case.

Put up on 08.05.20205 for final order.

Sd/-

**(Ved Prakash)**

Special Presiding Officer  
RERA, Bihar