REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, BIHAR Before the Bench of Mr. Ved Prakash, Special Presiding Officer

Exe. Case No -216/2024 RERA/CC/436/2023

Neha SinhaComplainant/Executant(s)

Vs.

M/s Palvi Raj Construction Pvt. Ltd.Respondent

PROJECT: Mumbai Residency

For the complainant : In Person

For the respondent: Ms. Kriti Suman (Adv.)

04.03.2025 PROCEEDINGS

The executant along with her husband, Shri Manish Kumar and Ms. Kriti Suman, learned counsel on behalf of respondent are present.

Learned counsel by filing photocopy of the transaction of Rs. 1,00,000/-(50,000/- each) submits that the respondent promoter has up-till-now refunded Rs. 1,50,000/- to the executant and remaining Rs. 40,000/- will be refunded at the earliest. She further submits that a cheque of Rs. 50,000/- in the above Rs. 1,50,000/- has been handed over by the promoter to the maternal uncle (Mama) of executant, which might have been transferred in the A/c of the executant. However, the uncle of the executant who is also present in the court opposes and submits that the cheque issued by the promoter in his name was credited in his own A/c as the promoter has to refund also to him.

Considering submissions, both the respondent and executant are directed to file the statement of bank A/c to arrive at a conclusion regarding refund.

Put up on 22.04.2025 for further hearing.

Sd/-

(Ved Prakash)

Special Presiding Officer