

REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, BIHAR

**Before the Bench of Hon'ble Inquiry Commissioner, Mr. Sanjaya Kumar Singh, RERA,
Bihar**

RERA/CC/108/2024

Sheela Pratima Kujur

.....Complainant

Vs

M/s Pan Homes India Pvt. Ltd.

.....Respondent

Project: Pan Alpha City

**Present: For Complainant: Mr. Pulkit Thakur, Advocate
For Respondent: Mr. Pankaj Kumar, Director**

08/05/2025

PROCEEDING

Hearing taken up. Mr. Pulkit Thakur along with Mr. Anupam Prabhat Srivastava, learned counsel for the complainant is present. Mr. Pankaj Kumar, the Director of the respondent company is also present.

Learned counsel for the complainant reiterates his earlier statement and requests for a direction to the respondent for payment of the entire amount of Rs.4.00 lakh deposited by the complainant.

The Director of the respondent company submits that a total amount of Rs.3.00 lakh has been deposited by Mr, Neeraj Kumar, the deceased husband of the complainant.

Learned counsel for the complainant submits that the statement of the respondent is not correct on the ground that he had made payment of Rs.4.00 lakh through cheque No.68729 dated 07.02.2023 which itself is a substantial evidence on record to prove that the total amount to be refunded to the complainant was Rs.4.00 lakh and not Rs.3.00 lakh as wrongly claimed by the respondent.

Mr. Pankaj Kumar, the Director of the respondent company further submits that though the admitted amount was only Rs.3.00 lakh , still he had paid Rs.1.00 lakh extra as an additional amount as interest on the principal amount through cheque. He admits that cheque was not encashed but later he has paid the entire amount of Rs.4.00 lakh. He is requested to submit evidence in favour of his statement that the said amount was paid to the complainant. He requests for some more time for furnishing evidence to this effect.

Put up on 05.06.2025 for further hearing.

Sd/-

(Sanjaya Kumar Singh)

Inquiry Commissioner, RERA, Bihar