# REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, BIHAR

Before the Single Bench of Hon'ble Chairman Mr. Vivek Kumar Singh,
RERA, Bihar.

RERA/EXE/455/2024
RERA/CC/58/2024

Dr. Gurinder Randhawa .... Executant/Complainant

Vs

M/s Raman and Kumar Construction Pvt. Ltd. ... Respondent

Project: **MALA TOWER**

Present: For Complainant: Mrs. Kriti Suman along with
Dr. Gurinder Randhawa, complainant
For Respondents: Mr. Tarun Sagar, Advocate

**09.07.2026** **PROCEEDING**

1. Hearing taken up. Learned counsel Mrs. Kriti Suman along with Dr. Gurinder Randhawa, the complainant, appears on her behalf. Learned counsel Mr. Tarun Sagar appears on behalf of the respondents.

2. On the previous date, SSP, Patna was directed to submit the case diary/status report with regard to the concerned police case for better appreciation of the matter.

3. Mrs. Ankita Kumari, S.I., Patrakarnagar Police Station, who is the Investigating Officer of the case, had visited the Authority asking for the original copy of the money receipt. The concerned Investigating Officer vide Memo No. 971 dated 02.06.2026 asked for the original money receipt along with signature, a copy of the order of the RERA and any other document which was available with RERA. The record of the case was shown to the I.O. by the Legal Team and the original money receipt was not available on record of the Authority. The I.O. further stated that both the parties have been informed to appear and assist her in proper investigation of the case.

4. From perusal of the record, it is clear that the purported money receipt in original was shown to the Authority by Mrs. Puja Singh, Director of the Respondent Company appearing on a date i.e. 16.09.2025. Moreover, as the money was purportedly received by the respondents, the onus of providing the original money receipt squarely rests upon the respondents. As the original money receipt has not been delivered by

the respondents either to the Authority or to the Investigating Officer, the Authority is left with no option but to move ahead with the presumption that no such money receipt was authentically available with the respondents.

5. In view of the same, this case would be, in the given circumstances, conducted with the presumption that no original money receipt is there in possession of the respondents which could possibly buttress their claims with regard to the same.

Put up for hearing on 19.08.2026.

**Sd/-**
**(Vivek Kumar Singh)**
**Chairman**