REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, BIHAR Before the Bench of Mr. Ved Prakash, Special Presiding Officer

Exe. Case No. 80/2025 RERA/CC/33/2024

Wahidha KhatunEecutant(s)/Complainant(s)

Vs

M/s Sudha Engicon Pvt. Ltd.

....Respondent

PROJECT- OM Tower

For the complainant: Mr. Pawan (Adv.)

For the respondent : None

09.09.2025 PROCEEDINGS

Shri Pawan, learned counsel on behalf of executant is present, but the respondent is absent.

Learned counsel submits that the project is almost completed, but the respondent promoter, in spite of order passed by the Hon'ble Member to deliver possession of flat no. 302 in Block –A of the project, is knowingly and intentionally not making compliance, so a coercive step against the respondent may be taken.

Considering submission and going through the record, it appears that the Director of the respondent company had taken part during the hearing proceedings of complaint case no. 33/2024 and the Hon'ble Member, Shri S.D. Jha, after hearing the parties, has directed on 12.07.2024 in RERA/CC/33/2024 the Director of the respondent company to deliver possession, execute and register sale deed with respect to flat no. 302 in Block –A of the project in favour of executant, Wahidha Khatun after completing all the legal formalities within two months, but the respondent is neither appearing before this bench nor making compliance of the order passed by the Hon'ble Member.

Hence, issue show cause notice to the respondent to explain as to why a penalty under the provisions of section 63 of RERA Act, 2016 may not be imposed upon him for non-compliance of the above order of the Hon'ble Member as well as for his non-appearance before this bench.

Put up on 04.11.2025 for further hearing.

Sd/-(Ved Prakash) Special Presiding Officer