

REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, BIHAR
Before the Bench of Mr. Ved Prakash,
Special Presiding Officer

RERA/Pro/Reg./1167/2020

Authorized Representative of RERAComplainant(s)

Vs

M/s Maitreyee Mahaniram L.L.PRespondent
PROJECT- Basudev Maitreyee Palace

For the complainant: Mr. Ankit Kumar (Legal Representative)
For the respondent : Mr. Sumit Kumar (Adv.)

12.06.2025

PROCEEDINGS

Shri Ankit Kumar, the learned legal representative on behalf of complainant/Authority and Shri Sumit Kumar, learned counsel on behalf of respondent landowner, Shri Anil Kumar are present, but the respondent promoter is absent.

The respondent landowner files photo copy of order dated 05.06.2025 passed by Executive Officer, Nagar Parishad, Danapur Nizamat in Misc. case no. 04/2022 along with list of documents after supplying copy thereof to the learned legal representative. Keep it on record. Learned counsel for has already filed Vakalatnama on behalf of respondent landowner, which is kept on record.

Learned legal representative submits that in spite of repeated chances, the respondent promoter is neither appearing before the bench nor making compliance of notice no. 1066 dated 21.03.2025 issued by the Hon'ble Authority. Hence, the order may be passed.

Taking note of the submissions of the parties as well as on going through the record, it appears that on previous date on 08.05.2025, learned counsel, Shri Rakesh Ranjan on behalf of respondent promoter had appeared before the bench but without Vakalatnama and he had sought adjournment to file Vakalatnama and reply on behalf of respondent promoter. In spite of several chances, the respondent promoter has neither appeared in person nor through his representative to defend his case. Learned counsel for respondent promoter has also not taken pain to appear and sought time for filing reply and Vakalatnama. It further appears that had the notice not been served on the respondent promoter, learned counsel would not have taken part in the proceedings of the present case.

In such view of the matter, the bench has no option but to hear the case ex-parte against the respondent promoter.

Accordingly, heard learned legal representative on behalf of Authority/complainant and learned counsel on behalf of respondent landowner on the merit of the case.

Put up on 26.06.2025 for final order.

Sd/-
(Ved Prakash)
Special Presiding Officer