REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, BIHAR
Before the Bench of Mr. Ved Prakash,
Special Presiding Officer

Exe. Case No. 412/2024

RERA/CC/49/2022

Sunil Kumar Ray ....Executant

Vs.

M/s Grih Vatika Homes Pvt. Ltd. ....Respondent

PROJECT - URMILA VATIKA

For the Executant: Mr. Tarun Sagar, Advocate
For the Respondent : Mr. Satyam Raja, Advocate

21.09.2026 **PROCEEDING**

Learned counsel Mr. Tarun Sagar on behalf of executant and learned counsel Mr. Satyam Raja on behalf of respondent are present.

Learned counsel for respondent submits that there is likely to be settlement between the executant, land owner and Respondent Promoter and delivery of possession to the executant may be made within a month, so an adjournment may be provided which is opposed by the learned counsel for the executant and he submits that on one or other ground the respondent is taking adjournment without making compliance of the order passed by the Hon'ble Authority.

Considering submission as well as on going through the record, it appears that respondent on one or other ground is taking regular adjournment which is not reasonable. Hence, an adjournment be provided that in case of default of assurance given to the learned counsel for respondent, a penalty will be imposed.

Put up on 26.10.2026 for further hearing.

Sd/-

(Ved Prakash)

Special Presiding Officer

RERA, Bihar