REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, BIHAR

Before the Bench of Mr. Ved Prakash,

Special Presiding Officer,

Exe. Case No- 355/2024

RERA/CC/380/2022

Meera Singh ……...Executant(s)

Vs

M/s Techno Culture Building Pvt. Ltd. ……Respondent

PROJECT: VASTU VIHAR, PHASE- II

For the Complainant: Mr. Sumit Kumar, Advocate

For the Respondent: Mr. Dheeraj Kumar Roy, Advocate

17.08.2026 **PROCEEDING**

Learned Counsel, Shri Sumit Kumar, on behalf of the executant, and Learned Counsel, Shri Dheeraj Kumar Roy, on behalf of the respondent, are present.

2. Learned Counsel for the respondent submits that the respondent is ready for an amicable solution to the dispute with the executant, but the penalty imposed against the respondent on 19.05.2026 may be recalled, as he could not attend the proceedings on that day due to engagement in other works. Learned Counsel further submits that upon on amicable solution, the executant may get an opportunity to take delivery of possession of the flat as per his consent.

3. On the other hand, Learned Counsel for the executant, though opposing, submits that till the disposal of the present case, the penalty amount may be stayed but should not be recalled, as the promoter will be free and will not comply with the order passed by the Hon'ble Authority.
4. Considering the submissions as well as going through the record, it appears that admittedly, when the penalty amount was imposed against the respondent promoter on 19.05.2026, the Learned Counsel for the respondent was not attending the hearing proceeding. There is a probability that he might have been engaged in some other work, but it is the duty of the respondent/Learned Counsel to attend the proceeding and comply with the order passed by the Authority.
5. However, at present, the imposition of the penalty amount is stayed from today until further orders of this Bench/Authority.

Put up on 22.09.2026 for further hearing.

Sd/-

(Ved Prakash)

Special Presiding Officer