REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, BIHAR

Before the Bench of Hon'ble Inquiry Commissioner, Mr. Sanjaya Kumar Singh, RERA, Bihar

RERA/SM/670/2025

Authorised Representative of RERA

.....Complainant

 $\mathbf{V}\mathbf{s}$

M/s Super City Builders Pvt. Ltd.

.....Respondent

Project: Super City Enclave

Present: For the Complainant:

Mr. Satyam Tewari, Advocate

For the Respondent:

Mr. Sharad Shekhar, Advocate

20.05.2025

PROCEEDING

Hearing taken up. Mr. Satyam Tewari, learned counsel for the complainant/Authority is present. Mr. Sharad Shekhar, learned counsel for the respondent is also present.

The learned counsel for the respondent submits a photo copy of the registered sale deed executed by the Company in favour of Shri Vivek Kumar Singh and Smt. Lalita Devi for Flat No.201 pertaining to the project named "City Enclave". When asked as to why there is a difference in the name of the project in question and the project as referred by him, he submits that the project is actually named as "City Enclave" and not "Super City Enclave"; may be because of the fact that the name of the respondent-Developer is "Super City Builders" and hence, the said name of the project may have been construed as "Super City Enclave" instead of "City Enclave". He is, however, requested to submit an affidavit to this effect stating explicitly that the name of the project in question is actually "City Enclave" and no other project in the name of "Super City Enclave" has been developed by the respondent-Company.

The learned counsel for the complainant-Authority is directed to verify the veracity of the statement as mentioned by the respondent along with the documents submitted by him.

Put up on 26.06.2025 for further hearing.

Sd/-

(Sanjaya Kumar Singh) Inquiry Commissioner, RERA, Bihar