

REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, BIHAR
Before the Conciliation Forum Bench
Mr. Ved Prakash, Senior Legal Consultant

RERA/CC/555/2023

Hemant Kumar Pandey

....Complainant(s)

Vs

M/s Aastik Home Pvt. Ltd.

....Respondent

PROJECT- Machaswami Sai Palace

For the complainant:

Mr. Sharad Shekhar (Adv.)

For the respondent :

None

20.05.2024

PROCEEDING

Shri Sharad Shekhar, learned counsel on behalf of complainant is present, but the respondent is absent.

Learned counsel submits that the complainant has paid the entire consideration, but the respondent has failed to complete the project. However, due to pressure of the respondent, the complainant got the sale deed registered with respect to the flat without any amenities provided by the respondent. He further submits that the respondent has not provided the amenities as assured in the broacher and agreement for sale. The respondent is also not regularly appearing before the forum to resolve the dispute. Hence, the record may be referred to the authority for deciding it on merit.

The respondent, as alleged, in spite of execution of sale deed, has neither provided the amenities nor regularly appearing before the forum to settle the dispute amicably. It is also noticed that the project is a lapsed one. Hence, the conciliation fails and the record is referred to the Hon'ble Authority for deciding it on merit.

Sd/-

(Ved Prakash)

Senior Legal Consultant
RERA, Bihar