REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, BIHAR Before the Conciliation Forum Bench Mr. Ved Prakash, Senior Legal Consultant

RERA/CC/99/2024

Asit Nath TiwariComplainant(s)

Vs

M/s Geetanjali Real Tech Pvt. Ltd.Respondent

PROJECT- Sudha Home Town

For the complainant: Mr. Kishore Kunal (Adv.)
For the respondent: Mr. Mohit Raj (Adv.)

20.05.2024 PROCEEDING

On order of the Hon'ble Authority, notices were issued against both parties for their appearance as well as their consent on conciliation, whereon learned counsels on behalf of both the parties are present.

Learned counsel for complainant submits that the complainant is a office bearer as the president and secretary of the association and respondent no. 1 to 5 are promoter whereas respondent no. 6 and 7 are landowners. He further submits that block –A of the project is still not completed. Hence, the association is formed only with respect to Block –B and other duplexes. Further, respondent nos. 1 to 5 have not provided the amenities assured to the allottees in the agreement and as the the requirement under RERA Act. He further submits that in spite of repeated requests, the respondent promoters has not repaired the amenities already provided and failed to construct guard rook. However, the allottees of Block –C have taken possession of flat in the year 2023 and started collecting maintenance charges and respondent nos. 6 and 7 have failed to make payment of maintenance charges.

On the other hand, learned counsel for respondent submits that most of the required amenities have been provided and remaining amenities will be provided at later stage.

Considering the rival submissions of the parties, the conciliation fails and the record is referred to the Hon'ble Authority for deciding it on merit.

Sd/-(**Ved Prakash**) Senior Legal Consultant RERA, Bihar

(

e d

P