

REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, BIHAR

**Before the Bench of Hon'ble Inquiry Commissioner, Mr. Sanjaya Kumar Singh, RERA,
Bihar**

RERA/SM/721/2025

Authorised Representative of RERA

.....Complainant

Vs

M/s Tirupati Homes Limited

.....Respondent

Project: Tirupati Enclave

**Present: For Complainant: Ms. Ojaswi Ishani, Advocate
For Respondent: Mr. Sahil Kumar, Advocate**

23/05/2025

PROCEEDING

Ms. Ojaswi Ishani, learned authorized representative of the complainant/ Authority is present. Mr. Sahil Kumar, learned counsel for the respondent is also present.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that no such project named Tirupati Ashoka Enclave has been developed by the respondent company i.e. M/s Tirupati Homes Limited. However, he has not submitted any evidence to this effect.

Learned counsel for the complainant/ Authority furnishes a copy of the advertisement which has been floated online by the respondent company i.e. M/s Tirupati Homes Limited for the project in question i.e. Tirupati Ashoka Enclave. She also submits that the statement of the respondent is not correct as he has neither submitted any evidence to prove his point nor has he filed any F.I.R. against the person who has taken out an illegal advertisement in favour of the respondent company.

Learned counsel for the respondent is directed to furnish evidence in order to prove that the said apartment has not been developed by the respondent and also in case the said advertisement has not been taken by the respondent company, then in that case it is required that the respondent should file an F.I.R. against the illegal doer. The learned counsel for the respondent seeks some time to furnish the details as directed. Time so sought is allowed.

Put up on 08.07.2025 for further hearing.

Sd/-

(Sanjaya Kumar Singh)
Inquiry Commissioner,
RERA, Bihar