

**REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, BIHAR**  
**Before the Bench of Special Presiding Officer,**  
**Mr. Ved Prakash, RERA, Bihar**

-----  
**Case No. RERA/SM/83/2018**

Authorised Representative of RERA      ....      ....      Complainant

Vs.

M/s Pahi Construction Pvt. Ltd.      ....      ....      ....      Respondent

**PROJECT: VILLAGE PAHI**

For the Complainant:      Shri Ankit Kumar, LR

For the Respondent :      None

**PROCEEDING**

**27.03.2025**

Shri Ankit Kumar, learned Legal Representative on behalf of the complainant/Authority is present but the respondent is absent.

The report of RERA Technical Team has been received which is kept on record.

The learned Legal Representative of the Authority submits that the respondent in spite of knowledge of pendency of the case is not appearing before the Bench/Authority so, an ex-parte hearing may be done and order may be passed.

Considering the submission and going through the record it appears that the respondent-promoter in other cases pending before the Hon'ble Authority is appearing but knowingly and intentionally he is not appearing before the Bench in this case, so the Bench has no option but to hear the case ex-parte.

Accordingly, heard the learned Legal Representative of the Authority in detail on merit of the cases.

Put up on 17.04.2025 for order.

Sd/-

**(Ved Prakash)**  
Special Presiding Officer  
RERA, Bihar