

REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, BIHAR
Before the Bench of Special Presiding Officer,
Mr. Ved Prakash, RERA, Bihar

Case No. RERA/SM/154/2018

Authorised Representative of RERA Complainant

Vs.

M/s Nisana Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. Respondent

PROJECT: SONATA CITY AND NISANA METRO CITY

For the Complainant: Shri Ankit Kumar, LR

For the Respondent : None.

PROCEEDING

27.03.2025

Shri Ankit Kumar, learned Legal Representative on behalf of the complainant/Authority is present but the respondent is absent.

The report of the RERA Technical Team is filed. Keep it on record.

The learned Legal Representative submits that the respondent-promoter in spite of knowledge of the pendency of the case and filing of *Vakalatnama* on its behalf by the learned counsel has not taken pain to appear before the Bench/Authority to put its defence. Hence, the case may be heard ex-parte and order may be passed.

Considering the submission as well as going through the record it appears that the learned lawyer, Shri Binod Kumar Sinha on behalf of the respondent had appeared twice but thereafter he has stopped to attend the hearing of the case in spite of notice to the respondent. Under such circumstances the Bench has no option but to hear the case ex-parte.

Accordingly, heard the learned Legal Representative of the Authority on merit of the case in detail.

Put up on 17.04.2025 for order.

Sd/-

(Ved Prakash)
Special Presiding Officer
RERA, Bihar