

**REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, BIHAR**  
**Before the Bench of Special Presiding Officer,**  
**Mr. Ved Prakash, RERA, Bihar**

-----  
**Case No. RERA/SM/248/2018**

Authorised Representative of RERA      ....      ....      Complainant

Vs.

M/s Maurya Infratech Pvt. Ltd.      ....      ....      Respondent

**PROJECT: MAURYA CITY**

For the Complainant:      Shri Ankit Kumar, LR

For the Respondent :      None.

**PROCEEDING**

**27.03.2025**

Shri Ankit Kumar, learned Legal Representative on behalf of the complainant/Authority is present but the respondent is absent.

The RERA Technical Team has submitted report with respect to the estimated cost of the project. Keep it on record.

The learned Legal Representative submits that the respondent knowingly and intentionally not appearing before the Bench/Authority in spite of knowledge of filing of *Vakalatnama*. Hence, the case may be heard ex-parte and fixed for order.

Considering the submission as well as going through the record it appears that in spite of knowledge the respondent has not taken pain to appear before the Bench/Authority to make its defence. It is also not out of place to mention here that the Director of the respondent has also filed *Vakalatnama* and even then failed to appear before the Bench for making defence. Hence, the Bench has no option but to hear the case ex-parte against the respondent.

Heard the learned Legal Representative of the Authority on merit of the case in detail.

Put up on 08.05.2025 for orders.

Sd/-

**(Ved Prakash)**  
Special Presiding Officer  
RERA, Bihar