

REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, BIHAR
Before the Conciliation Forum Bench
Mr. Ved Prakash, Senior Legal Consultant

RERA/CC/28/2024

Remy KumariComplainant(s)

Vs

M/s. Satyabh Homes Pvt. Ltd. & OthersRespondent

PROJECT- Deo Nath Enclave

For the complainant: Mr. Ishtiyaque Hussain (Adv.)

For the respondent : None

29.04.2024

PROCEEDING

Shri Ishtiyaque Hussain, learned counsel on behalf of complainant is present, but the respondent is absent.

Learned counsel submits that flat no. 303 along with car parking space was allotted in the share of the landlord, Shri Bhola Prasad Singh who had executed sale deed in favour of the respondent no. 4, Shri Mukesh Kumar. Later on, said Shri Mukesh Kumar, being in urgent need of money, sold out the said flat to the present complainant through sale deed no. 5097 dated 08.05.2023 along with car parking space in the Project, Deo Nath Enclave, but the promoter cum respondent no. 1, Shri Abhay Kumar, Director of the company is doing major changes against the approved plan passed by the competent authority without obtaining permission and has closed all the ducts, which were designed and carved out from the common passage / gallery up-to the outer boundary wall from all sides, so that the light and air can easily come into the flat and gallery of the of the floor. Further, the respondent has left the building incomplete and is also not regularly appearing before the forum to settle the dispute. Hence, the record may be sent to the Authority for decision on merit.

The respondent, as alleged, is not only changing the building from

the approved map and also not completing the incomplete portion of the building as assured in the development agreement etc and he is also not regularly appearing before the forum to settle the dispute amicably. Hence, the conciliation fails and the record is referred to the Hon'ble Authority for deciding it on merit.

Sd/-
(Ved Prakash)
Senior Legal Consultant
RERA, Bihar