

**REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, BIHAR**

**Before the Bench of Hon'ble Inquiry Commissioner, Mr. Sanjaya Kumar Singh, RERA,  
Bihar**

**RERA/SM/692/2025**

**Authorised Representative of RERA**

**.....Complainant**

**Vs**

**M/s Dhanbin Infracom Pvt. Ltd.**

**.....Respondent**

**Project: LAHSUNA**

**Present: For the Complainant: Mr. Abhinay Priyadarshi, Advocate  
For the Respondent: Mr. Niranjana Prasad Singh, Advocate**

**31.07.2025**

**PROCEEDING**

A *Vakalatnama* has been filed on behalf of the respondent. Let it be kept on record.

Hearing taken up. Mr. Abhinay Priyadarshi, learned Authorised Representative on behalf of the complainant/Authority is present. Mr. Niranjana Prasad Singh, learned counsel for the respondent is also present.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that though the respondent-Company had earlier applied for registration of the project in question, but the same was rejected as withdrawn, vide Letter No.259 dated 07.03.2022, issued by the Authorized Officer of the RERA. Learned counsel further submits that he would be submitting on affidavit the facts as stated by him that the said project has never been initiated and as such, there is no question of violation of any of the provisions of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016.

Learned counsel for the complainant requests for a direction to be given to the respondent for filing an affidavit to this effect that the construction of the said project has never been initiated.

Learned counsel for the complainant/Authority is also requested to search on the online marketing platform as well as other websites to ascertain as to whether

any advertisement post-withdrawal of the application by the concerned respondent has been done or not.

Put up on 09.09.2025 for further hearing.

Sd/-

(Sanjaya Kumar Singh)  
Inquiry Commissioner,  
RERA, Bihar