## **REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, BIHAR,**

Before the Bench of Hon'ble Inquiry Commissioner, Mr. Sanjaya Kumar Singh, RERA, Bihar.

## RERA/CC/147/2025

Sri Ram Sikandar Kumar .... Complainant

Vs.

M/s Soho Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. .. . Respondent

---

## PROJECT: SOHO BADRINARAYAN

For the Complainant: Mr. Sumit Kumar, Advocate

For the Respondent: None

## **19.11.2025 PROCEEDING**

Learned counsel Mr. Sumit Kumar on behalf of the complainant is present but the respondent is absent.

Learned counsel for the complainant submits that the complainant had purchased a flat in the impugned project " Soho Badrinarayan" and he is residing there but, unfortunately, physical possession of the said flat has neither been handed over in writing by the respondent nor the sale deed has been executed in his favour. He further submits that the present case is identical to a number of cases in which a detailed speaking order has already been passed by the Special Presiding Officer Sri Ved Prakash and he has annexed a copy of the same as Annexure -4 on page - 35 of his complaint petition. He requests this Bench to pass necessary direction in light of the said order. He further submits that the respondent is in a regular habit of remaining absent from the proceedings of the Court and requests for notice to be sent to him on the address as mentioned in paragraph -2 of Form – M in order to ensure his presence.

On perusal of record of this case, it appears that in the Conciliation Proceeding the respondent had registered his presence through learned counsel Mr. Rabindra Kumar. However,

office is directed to issue notice to the respondent on the address, as mentioned by the learned counsel for the complainant in order to ensure the presence of the respondent either in person or through his authorized representative.

Put up on 13.01.2026.

Sd/-

(Sanjaya Kumar Singh)

Inquiry Commissioner, RERA, Bihar.