# Real Estate Regulatory Authority, Bihar

6<sup>th</sup> Floor, Bihar State Building Construction Corporation Building, Shastri Nagar, Patna-800023

Letter No. 588

Date: 29 09 2021.

To, Mr Sharad Keshari, Director M/s Hira Panna Infra Project Pvt. Ltd., Plot No.100A, Patliputra Colony, Patna, Bihar – 800013

Email id: hpinfra2018@gmail.com

**Sub:** Show- cause Notice for Revocation of Registration under Section 7 of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act 2016 and levy of penalty under section 60 of the Act for non-compliance of the section 4 of the Act.

Sir,

Whereas on the basis of information which has come to our notice, it appears that M/s Hira Panna Infra Project Pvt. Ltd., has obtained Registration of their project THE CROWN from the Authority on 18.01.2021, after making patently false claimsregarding their legal title to the land sub-clause (A) of clause (I) of sub-section (2) of Section 4 and supressing the material fact under sub-clause (B) of clause (I) of sub-section (2) of Section 4 of the Act and Rules 3 (1) (d), (e) & (f) of the Bihar Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act 2017 with respect to third parties rights, titles and interests on the plots of land (Khesra/Plot No-645 and Khata No-277, Thana No- 17, Mauza —Sikandarpur) on which the project is proposed to be developed;

Whereas the landowners had entered into development agreements with another company namely M/s Agrani Homes Private Limited (AHPL)on the same plots of land in 2013-2014 and based on the said development agreements, the AHPL had got the building plan approved vide Plan case vide 112/17-18 dated 16.1.2018 from the CEO, DanapurNizamat Nagar Parishad;

Whereas M/s AHPL had taken huge amount of deposits/booking amounts from the consumers/allottees since 2013, based on the development agreements executed with the land-owners of the aforesaid plots of land and created third parties rights/interests/liabilities on the afore-said land;

Whereas M/s AHPL had filed an application for registration of their project Suraj Suman on the aforesaid plots of land in August 2018 and that was under consideration of the Authority;

Shatta?

Whereas, with the objective of obtaining registration of real estate project THE CROWN from the Authority, the promoter M/s Hira Panna InfraProject LLP has made apparently false and misleading representation, by supressing material facts before the authority as well as allottees with respect to the third parties rights/interests/liabilities on the aforesaid land on which the project THE CROWN is proposed to be developed, that the land in question is free from encumbrance which is in contravention of clause (a) and (c) of sub-section (1) of section 7 of the Act.

You are therefore directed to show- cause as to why:

- (i) the registration of your real estate project should not be revoked under section 7 of the Act with immediate effect and
- (ii) the penalty provided under section 60 of the Act be not imposed upon you, your company and other directors/officials of the company for contravention of Section 4 of the Act,

within thirty working days from the date of issue of this notice.

If you fail to furnish the reply within the stipulated period, your registration will be revoked for the violating Section 7 as well as section 4 (2) (1) (A) &(B) of RERA, 2016.

In the meantime, in exercise of powers conferred under section 36 of Act, as an Interim Measure, the Authority deem it to necessary to restrain you from making any booking of flats/apartments in the said project and entering into any agreement for sale with respect to said piece of land/flata/apartments from the date of receipt of this Notice until further Order/s.

A copy of the Notice is also being sent to IG, Registration for issuing necessary directions to stop registration of agreement of sale of the flats/apartments in the Project THE CROWN of M/s Hira Panna InfraProject LLP until further orders.

**Authorised Signatory** 

Checkla god

Real Estate Regulatory Authority (RERA), Bihar.

## REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, BIHAR

Before the Full Bench of Mr Naveen Verma, Chairman, Mr R.B. Sinha & Mrs Nupur Banerjee, Members

Vs

M/s Agrani Homes Pvt Ltd......Respondent

Projects: Suraj Suman Block A, B & C

Present: For Complainants: In person

Mr Bipin Bihari, Advocate Mr Kishore Kunal, Advocate

For Respondent: Mr Alok Kumar, MD

# HEARING THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING

#### 13/09/2021

### PROCEEDING

Hearing taken up. The complainants are present with Mr Bipin Bihari and Mr Kishore Kunal, learned counsel of the complainant. Mr Alok Kumar, MD of the respondent company is also present.

The complainant Mr Aman Kumar submits that he paid Rs 5.28 lakh in 2014 and wants refund with interest.

The complainant Mr Manoj Kumar submits that he paid Rs 7.31 lakh and wants refund with interest.

The complainant Mr Gopal Kumar Sinha submits that he paid money and wants refund with interest.



The complainants Mr Manoj Kumar Singh, Viany Kumar, Mr Saket Kumar Singh, Md Gheyasul Haque & Mr Ajeet Kumar submit that they paid Rs 14.43 lakh each and want refund with interest.

The complainant Mr Rajendra Sah submits that he paid Rs 10 lakh in 2018 and wants refund with interest.

The complainant Babita Srivastava submits that she paid Rs 7.38 lakh in 2015 and wants refund with interest.

The complainant Bikash Kumar submits that he paid Rs 7.32 lakh in 2018 and wants refund with interest.

The complainant Subodh Kumar submits that he paid Rs 3.36 lakh in March, 2016 and wants refund with interest.

The complainant Rajiv Ranjan Triyar submits that he paid Rs 7.30 lakh in 2016 and wants refund with interest.

The complainant Saroj Kumar Choudhary submits that he paid Rs 5.71 lah in 2017-18 and wants refund with interest.

The complainant Priyanka Bharti submits that she paid Rs 9.50 lakh in 2018 and got refund of Rs 6.00 lakh and the remaining Rs 3.50 lakh is still due which he wants to be refunded with interest.

The complainants Shyam Kishor and Braj Kishor were represented by their learned counsel Mr Bipin Bihari who submits that both the complainants paid Rs one lakh each which they want to be refunded with interest.

The complainant Abhishek Kumar Roy submits that he paid Rs 7.00 lakh in March, 2019 and wants refund with interest.

The complainant Nutan Kumari submits that he paid Rs 6.26 lakh in 2017 and wants refund with interest.

The complainant Pravin Kumar submits that he paid Rs 3 lakh and wants refund with interest.

The complainant Mahesh Prasad submits that he paid Rs 3.50 lakh in 2017 and wants refund with interest.

The complainant Bhim Sharma submits that he paid Rs 3.30 lakh in 2017 and wants refund with interest.

Mr Kishore Kunal, learned counsel of the complainant Nutan Kumari submits that she paid Rs 7 lakh out of the consideration amount of Rs 26 lakh in 2018 and got allotment letter in April, 2018. He stated that some complainants are interested in lodging criminal cases and requested the Authority to forward FIRs against the respondent to the concerned police authorities.

The complainant Sonam Bharti submits that she paid Rs 7.13 lakh and wants refund with interest.



The complainant Soumya Pushpanjali submits that she paid Rs 2.62 lakh in March, 2017 and wants refund with interest.

The complainant Arvind Nath submits that he paid Rs 5.32 lakh in 2015 and wants refund with interest.

The complainant Amit Kumar Kushwaha submits that he and Mr Hemant Kumar Singh paid Rs 2.71 lakh and 0.51 lakh respectively and want refund with interest.

The complainant Tarkeshwar Dhar Dwivedi submits that he paid Rs 8 lakh in 2016 and wants refund with interest.

The complainant Sanjay Kumar submits that he paid Rs 2.30 lakh in 2017 for shop and wants refund with interest.

The complainant Sonam Singh submits that she paid Rs 3.34 lakh in 2017 and wants refund with interest.

The complainant Gyan Prakash Sanjay submits that he paid Rs 5.19 lakh in Marh 2017 for shop and wants refund with interest.

Mr Alok Kumar, MD of the respondent company submits that they are under negotiation with the land owners and expect more than Rs one crore from them. He further states that due to dispute, the land owner Manish Singh had filed several FIRs against him, and resultantly he cancelled the development agreement with Manish as a result of compromise. He stated that he was not aware that the land owner has thereafter executed the development agreement with M/s Hira Panna Infra Projects Pvt Ltd. He further states that he has given his consent for cancellation of the development agreement to the landowner and that the landowner did not give any information that this project has been transferred to M/s Hira Panna . He further states that the other two development agreements have not been cancelled by him and thus, out of 4 agreements, 2 agreements were cancelled only.

The Authority observed that this project "The Crown" has been registered with RERA on 18/01/2021.

Mr Bipin Bihari, learned counsel of the complainants Shyam Kishor and Braj Kishor submits that the respondent company as a promoter cannot cancel the development agreement unilaterally after creating third parties liabilities on the land. He said that the promoter has been booking the flats since 2013 in the project Suraj Suman to be developed on the said plots of land and had submitted the application for registration of the project with RERA in June 2018. He questioned the rights of both the promoter and landowner of the Project Suraj Suman to unilaterally cancel the development agreement in 2019 without taking the approval of the third parties/ consumers/allottees and RERA before which the application for registration was pending. He further alleged that the respondent company has concealed these facts before the Civil Court as well as to the Authority at the time of getting the approval of cancellation of the development agreement.



Mr Kishore Kunal, learned counsel of the complainant Nutan Kumari submits that they will be filing petition and requested the Authority to issue summons to all those involved/associated with the project viz the promoter of the Project Crown as well as landowners because the registration of the project has been obtained from RERA without revealing the fact that the previous promoter had already created huge amount of third party liabilities on the project which was proposed to be developed on the same plot of land. He prayed that both the landowners and the new promoter M/s Hira Panna Infra Projects Pvt Ltd. should be summoned as the parties in this case and pending the decision of the Full Bench, the registration of the project may be suspended under Section 7 of the Act.

The Authority noted that Mr Alok Kumar did not inform the Authority that compromise with the land owner has been made in 2019 and also did not inform about withdrawal of the project for which they had applied for registration in 2018. The Authority directs the respondent to forward copy of the FIRs filed against him and the compromise agreement thereafter after which he had foregone his claim over the land. It directs Mr Alok Kumar to refund the deposited money of the complainants within sixty days. The complainants are at liberty to institute criminal cases against the respondent if they do not get the refund.

The Authority further directs the respondent to file petition with affidavit giving complete details about the project with copy of the cancellation of agreement and the details of money lying with the other land owners.

The Authority directs that copy of the FIRs filed against the Mr Alok Kumar and respondent company and the application filed by M/s Hira Panna for registration of the Project Crown be examined separately by the Registration Wing. .

Put up for orders 07/10/2021.



Nupur Banerjee Member R.B. Sinha Member Naveen Verma Chairman